

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER POR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.nspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/941,224		08/28/2001	Doug Zatezalo	VI/99-016.C 5672	
21140	7590	07/30/2003			
GREGORY		DLEY	EXAMINER		
MEDRAD INC ONE MEDRAD DRIVE				LIN, JEOYUH	
INDIANOL	A, PA I:	0051		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				3737	
				DATE MAILED: 07/30/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			NK
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	++1/3
Office Action Summany	09/941,224	ZATEZALO ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
The MAIL DIO DATE of this accomplished in the	Jeoyuh Lin	3737	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	bears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may within the statutory minimum of will apply and will expire SIX (6) Notes to a spolication to become the application to become	r a reply be timely filed thirty (30) days will be considered timely. IONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. B ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on Aug	<u>just 28, 2001</u> .		
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Th	is action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allows			S
closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims	Ex parte Quayle, 1935	C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-41</u> is/are pending in the application	1.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.		
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>31-33</u> is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-30 and 34-38</u> is/are rejected.			
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>39-41</u> is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine		the English	
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acce			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on		disapproved by the Examiner.	
If approved, corrected drawings are required in re	-		
,—	Carriller.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		C 5 440(a) (d) as (9	
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 0.5.	C. 9 119(a)-(u) or (i).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:			
1. Certified copies of the priority document		- Analization No	
2. Certified copies of the priority document			
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the price application from the International But See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	ireau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	ic priority under 35 U.S	.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application	on).
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes 			
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 2	5) 🔲 Notice	ew Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
J.S. Patent and Trademark Office			

Application/Control Number: 09/941,224

Art Unit: 3737

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

- 1. Claims 4, 9, 17, 26, 31, 34, and 39 are objected to because of the following informalities:
 - -In claims 4, 31, 34, 39, the term, "flowrate" should be spelled, "flow rate".
 - -In claims 9, 17, 26 claim "each phase" implies more than 1 phase. However, claim 6 only establishes one phase.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-30 and 34-38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Evans III et al. (US 6,442,418)

Evans teaches a fluid injector apparatus comprising a drive mechanism in the form of a metering pump, (Column 4, lines 30-35) and a control device. (Column 5, lines 64-67) Evans further discloses a flushing phase and a contrast phase. (Column 6, lines 40-50). Evans fails to specifically teach having a flushing phase as a first phase. However, in column 6, lines 53-60, Evans does teach flushing the fluid path before actual contrast injection in order to prime the fluid path and remove all air from the path. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the

Application/Control Number: 09/941,224

Art Unit: 3737

invention was made to interpret such a step as a "flushing phase" and to apply the flushing phase step in order to cut cost and prevent wasting the contrast agent. Having established the first flushing phase before contrast injection, Evans further teaches the following:

-A subsequent flushing phase (Column 6, lines 48), as claim 2 teaches in order to further conserve the contrast agent.

-Two containers, one holding flushing fluid, the other with contrast, and a syringe, (Column 5, line 60) as claims 11-13, 19-21, and 28-30 teach. (Column 3, lines 33-55)

-Defining parameters for the phases, such as the duration, (understood to be similar to a delay) flow rate and the volume of fluid to deliver as claims 3, 4, 8, 9, 16, 17, 25, 26, and 36 teach (Column 6, lines 7-13 and lines 30-32)

Evans does fail to teach a touch screen, as claims 5, 37, and 38 disclose. However, it does teach an electronic interface, (Column 6, lines 23) which includes a touch screen. In the medical imaging art, it is well known to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made that a touch screen may be used to simplify input of data, and would be an obvious matter of design choice. Claim 10, 18, and 27 would have established two consecutive flushing phases, which would have been substantially similar to having one long flushing phase. Independent claims 1, 6, 14, differ in their various scope of the claims, differentiated by the extended use means plus function language in claim 1, as well as the use of the broad term, "establish" in claim 1 as opposed to "program" in claim 6, use of the term, "arrangement" in claim 22and various inclusion and exclusion of procedural steps. Method claim 34 comprises steps

Art Unit: 3737

that closely follow the operation of the claimed apparatus. Otherwise, the claims are substantially similar.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 4. Claims 31-33 are allowed.
- Claims 39-41 allowable, pending the correction of the object independent claim
 39.

Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

-Prince (US 5,799,649) teaches a method of imaging the aorta, comprising establishing a contrast phase followed by a flushing phase to deliver all the contrast agent in the tubing. However, it fails to teach establishing a first phase as the flushing phase.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jeoyuh Lin whose telephone number is (703) 306-5990. The examiner can normally be reached on m-f, 8:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Marvin Lateef can be reached on (703) 308-3256. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-0758 for regular communications and (703) 308-0758 for After Final communications.

. •

Application/Control Number: 09/941,224

Art Unit: 3737

Page 5

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0858.

JYL

July 25, 2003

George Manuel
Primary F